arXiv:1511.05368v1 [math.RA] 17 Nov 2015

Free path groupoid grading on Leavitt path algebras

November 18, 2015

Daniel Gonçalves[1] and Gabriela Yoneda

Abstract

In this work we realize Leavitt path algebras as partial skew groupoid rings. This yields a free path groupoid grading on Leavitt path algebras. Using this grading we characterize free path groupoid graded isomorphisms of Leavitt path algebras that preserves generators.

Keywords: Leavitt path algebras, partial skew groupoid rings, free path groupoid, isomorphism of Leavitt path algebras.

MSC 2010: 16W50, 16W55, 16G99

1 Introduction

Leavitt path algebras, introduced in [1, 2] as generalizations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras, have been the focus of intense research in recent years. Part of the interest in these algebras come from the fact that the Leavitt path algebra associated to a graph encodes much of the combinatorics of the graph and, therefore, their algebraic properties are often linked to combinatorial properties of the underlying graph. To mention a few results in the field, in [25, 23, 7] the ideal structure of Leavitt path

[1]This author is partially supported by CNPq.

algebras is studied, in [8, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22] the theory of representations is approached, in [19] the graded structure of Leavitt path algebras is explored and so on. Of particular interest to our work, in [15], Leavitt path algebras are realized as partial skew group rings (a notion introduced in [9, 10]) and in [14] this realization is used to give new proves of the simplicity criteria of these algebras.

One direction of research in the field regards the study of isomorphisms of Leavitt path algebras and its connections with isomorphisms of their counter-part in C*-algebras, namely graph C*-algebras. This is a topic included in the graph algebra open problem webpage kept by Mark Tomforde and that was initially proposed by Abrams and Tomforde in [3]. Recent developments on the subject can be found in [24]. Also in a very recent development, graph C*-algebras are show to be closely related to orbit equivalence of graphs, see [6]. Given the above, the study of isomorphisms of Leavitt path algebras gains extra importance. It is our goal in this paper to give the reader a new insight into Leavitt path algebras and show how this insight can be applied to characterize a class of isomorphisms between Leavitt path algebras.

A key asset in our work is the theory of partial skew groupoid rings. These rings were defined in [4, 5] as generalizations of partial skew group rings, wich in turn were defined in [9, 10]. As we mentioned before, in [15] Leavitt path algebras were realized as partial skew group rings. Building from the ideas in [15] we realize Leavitt path algebras as partial skew groupoid rings. While in the group case the group acting is the free group in the edges, in our case the free path groupoid will act. Among the differences between the groupoid approach and the one in [15] we mention that, in the partial groupoid skew ring case, each local unit of a Leavitt path algebra is represented on its own fiber and the definition of the appropriate partial action happens in a more natural ideal.

With our description of Leavitt path algebras as partial skew groupoid rings we can obtain a characterization of (free path groupoid) graded isomorphisms of Leavitt path algebras that preserves generators. More precisely, given two graphs E_1 and

E_2 we show that if there exists a homomorphism between the associated free path groupoids, say G_1 and G_2, that preserves the set of finite words and is injective in this set, then there exists a (free path groupoid) graded isomorphism between the Leavitt path algebras (which preserves the generators). Furthermore, in the presence of condition (L) for E_1, we show the converse of our statement, that is, we show that if there exists a free path groupoid graded isomorphism, that preserves generators, from $L_K(E_1)$ to $L_K(E_2)$, then there exists an homomorphism between the associated free path groupoids that preserves the set of finite words and is injective in this set.

We organize our work as follows: In section two we include background material, in order to make the paper as self contained as possible. The characterization of Leavitt path algebras as partial skew groupoid rings is done in section 3 and in section 4 we show the applications mentioned in the previous paragraph.

2 Background

2.1 Partial skew groupoid rings

The notion of partial skew groupoid rings was introduced in [4, 5], derived from the work by Dokuchaev and Exel in [9]. Below we recall the notions leading to partial skew groupoid rings.

Definition 2.1. *A partial action of a group* G *on a set* Ω *is a pair* $\alpha = (\{D_t\}_{t\in \mathrm{G}},\ \{\alpha_t\}_{t\in \mathrm{G}})$, *where for each* $t \in \mathrm{G}$, D_t *is a subset of* Ω *and* $\alpha_t : D_{t^{-1}} \to \Delta_t$ *is a bijection such that* $D_e = \Omega$, α_e *is the identity in* Ω, $\alpha_t(D_{t^{-1}} \cap D_s) = D_t \cap D_{ts}$ *and* $\alpha_t(\alpha_s(x)) = \alpha_{ts}(x)$, *for all* $x \in D_{s^{-1}} \cap D_{s^{-1}t^{-1}}$. *In case* Ω *is an algebra or a ring then the subsets* D_t *should also be ideals and the maps* α_t *should be isomorphisms.*

Associated to a partial action of a group G in a ring A, the partial skew group ring $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathrm{G}$ is defined as the set of all finite formal sums $\sum_{t\in G} a_t\delta_t$, where, for all $t \in G$, $a_t \in D_t$ and δ_t are symbols. Addition is defined in the usual way and multiplication is determined by $(a_t\delta_t)(b_s\delta_s) = \alpha_t(\alpha_{-t}(a_t)b_s)\delta_{t+s}$.

Definition 2.2 (as in [4]). *A groupoid is a set G equipped with a binary partially defined operation such that:*

(i) for all $g, h, l \in G$, $g(hl)$ exists if, and only if, $(gh)l$ exists. In this case, $g(hl) = (gh)l$;

(ii) for every $g, h, l \in G$, $g(hl)$ exists if, and only if, gh and hl exist;

(iii) for all $g \in G$, there exist (unique) elements $d(g), \epsilon(g) \in G$ such that $gd(g)$ and $\epsilon(g)g$ exist and $gd(g) = g = \epsilon(g)g$;

(iv) for each $g \in G$ there exists $g^{-1} \in G$ such that $d(g) = g^{-1}g$ and $\epsilon(g) = gg^{-1}$.

Remark 2.3. *Let G be a groupoid. The set of admissible pairs is defined as $G^2 = \{(g, h) \in G \times G : d(g) = \epsilon(h)\}$. An element $e \in G$ is an identity in G if $e = d(g) = \epsilon(g^{-1})$, for some $g \in G$. We denote by G_0 the set of all identities in G. Notice that $G_0 = \{g^{-1}g : g \in G\}$.*

Next, following [4, 5] we define partial groupoid actions and their associated partial skew groupoid rings.

Definition 2.4. *A partial action α of a groupoid G on a set X is a pair $\alpha = (\{X_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ such that:*

(I) for all $g \in G$, $X_{\epsilon(g)}$ is a subset of X and X_g is a subset of $X_{\epsilon(g)}$;

(II) $\alpha_g : X_{g^{-1}} \to X_g$ are bijections that satisfies:

(i) α_e is the identity Id_{X_e} of X_e, for all $e \in G_0$;

(ii) $\alpha_h^{-1}(X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_h) \subseteq X_{(gh)^{-1}}$, whenever $(g, h) \in G^2$;

(iii) $\alpha_g(\alpha_h(x)) = \alpha_{gh}(x)$, for all $x \in \alpha_h^{-1}(X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_h)$ e $(g, h) \in G^2$.

For partial actions on a ring R we further ask that each $D_{\epsilon(g)}$ be an ideal of R, each D_g be an ideal of $D_{\epsilon(g)}$ and the maps $\alpha_g : D_{g^{-1}} \to D_g$ be isomorphisms.

Definition 2.5. *Let $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ be a partial action of the groupoid G on a ring R. The partial skew groupoid ring $R \rtimes_\alpha G$ is the set of all formal sums of the form $\sum_{g\in G} a_g\delta_g$, where $a_g \in D_g$, with addition defined in the usual way and*

multiplication given by

$$a_g\delta_g \cdot b_h\delta_h = \begin{cases} \alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(a_g)b_h)\delta_{gh} & , \text{ if } (g,h) \in G^2; \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.2 Leavitt path algebras as partial skew group rings

A directed graph $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ consists of a set E^0 of *vertices*, a set E^1 of *edges*, a *range map* $r : E^1 \to E^0$ and a *source map* $s : E^1 \to E^0$ which may be used to read off the direction of an edge. Given a field K and a directed graph E, the so called *Leavitt path algebra* associated with E (see e.g. [1, 2]) is denoted by $L_K(E)$. To be more precise, $L_K(E)$ is the universal K-algebra generated by a set $\{v, e, e^* : v \in E^0, e \in E^1\}$ of elements satisfying the following five assertions:

(i) for all $v, w \in E^0$, $v^2 = v$, and $vw = 0$ if $v \neq w$;

(ii) $s(e)e = er(e) = e$ for all $e \in E^1$;

(iii) $r(e)e^* = e^*s(e) = e^*$ for all $e \in E^1$;

(iv) for all $e, f \in E^1$, $e^*e = r(e)$, and $e^*f = 0$ if $e \neq f$;

(v) $v = \sum_{\{e \in E^1 \,:\, s(e)=v\}} ee^*$ for each vertex $v \in E^0$ which satisfies $0 < \#\{e \in E^1 : s(e) = v\} < \infty$.

In [15], it was showed that each Leavitt path algebra can be realized as a partial skew group ring. We shall review this construction by first defining a partial action at the level of sets.

Let $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be a directed graph. A *path of length* n in E is a sequence $\xi_1\xi_2 \dots \xi_n$ of edges in E such that $r(\xi_i) = s(\xi_{i+1})$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. If ξ is a path of length n, then we write $|\xi| = n$. The set of all finite paths in E is denoted by W. An *infinite path* in E is an infinite sequence $\xi_1\xi_2 \dots$ of edges in E such that $r(\xi_i) = s(\xi_{i+1})$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of all infinite paths in E is denoted by W^∞. Note that W (respectively W^∞) is a subset of the set of all finite (respectively infinite) words in the alphabet E^1. As usual, the range and source maps can be extended from E^1 to $W \cup W^\infty \cup E^0$ by defining $s(\xi) := s(\xi_1)$ for $\xi = \xi_1\xi_2 \dots \in W^\infty$ or

$\xi = \xi_1 \dots \xi_n \in W$, $r(\xi) := r(\xi_n)$ for $\xi = \xi_1 \dots \xi_n \in W$ and $r(v) = s(v) = v$ for $v \in E^0$. A finite path η is said to be an *initial subpath* of a (possibly infinite) path ξ, if there is a path ξ' such that $r(\eta) = s(\xi')$ and $\xi = \eta\xi'$ hold.

The partial action that we are about to define, takes place on the set

$$X = \{\xi \in W : r(\xi) \text{ is a sink } \} \cup \{v \in E^0 : v \text{ is a sink } \} \cup W^\infty$$

which is acted upon by $\mathbb{F}$, the free group generated by the set E^1. (notice that, since $\mathbb{F}$ is generated by E^1, some elements of $\mathbb{F}$ can be thought of as coming directly from W).

In order to have a partial action of $\mathbb{F}$ on X, for each $c \in \mathbb{F}$ we need to define a set X_c and a map $\theta_c : X_{c^{-1}} \to X_c$, such that they comply with the definition of a partial action. This is done as follows:

- $X_0 := X$, where 0 is the neutral element of $\mathbb{F}$.
- $X_{b^{-1}} := \{\xi \in X : s(\xi) = r(b)\}$, for all $b \in W$.
- $X_a := \{\xi \in X : \xi_1\xi_2...\xi_{|a|} = a\}$, for all $a \in W$.
- $X_{ab^{-1}} := \{\xi \in X : \xi_1\xi_2...\xi_{|a|} = a\} = X_a$, for $ab^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ with $a, b \in W$, $r(a) = r(b)$ and ab^{-1} in its reduced form.
- $X_c := \emptyset$, for all other $c \in \mathbb{F}$.

The second step towards the construction of our partial action, is to define the maps $\theta_c : X_{c^{-1}} \to X_c$, for $c \in \mathbb{F}$.

Let $\theta_0 : X_0 \to X_0$ be the identity map. For $b \in W$, $\theta_b : X_{b^{-1}} \to X_b$ is defined by $\theta_b(\xi) = b\xi$. and $\theta_{b^{-1}} : X_b \to X_{b^{-1}}$, for $\eta \in X_{b^{-1}}$, is defined by $\theta_{b^{-1}}(\eta) = \eta_{|b|+1}\eta_{|b|+2}...$, if $r(b)$ is not a sink and $\theta_{b^{-1}}(b) = r(b)$, if $r(b)$ is a sink.

Finally, for $a, b \in W$ with $r(a) = r(b)$ and ab^{-1} in reduced form, $\theta_{ab^{-1}} : X_{ba^{-1}} \to X_{ab^{-1}}$ is defined by $\theta_{ab^{-1}}(\xi) = a\xi_{(|b|+1)}\xi_{(|b|+2)} \dots$ for $\xi \in X_{ba^{-1}}$. It is not difficult to see that the inverse of this map is given by $\theta_{ba^{-1}} : X_{ab^{-1}} \to X_{ba^{-1}}$ which is defined by $\theta_{ba^{-1}}(\eta) = b\eta_{(|a|+1)}\eta_{(|a|+2)}...$ for $\eta \in X_{ab^{-1}}$.

Notice that $\{\{X_c\}_{c\in\mathbb{F}}, \{\theta_c\}_{c\in\mathbb{F}}\}$ is a partial action on the level of sets and so it induces a partial action $\{\{F(X_c)\}_{c\in\mathbb{F}}, \{\alpha_c\}_{c\in\mathbb{F}}\}$, where, for each $c \in \mathbb{F}$, $F(X_c)$ denotes the algebra of all functions from X_c to K, and $\alpha_c : F(X_{c^{-1}}) \to F(X_c)$ is defined by $\alpha_c(f) = f \circ \theta_{c^{-1}}$. The partial skew group ring associated to this partial action is not $L_K(E)$ yet. For this one proceeds in the following way:

For each $c \in \mathbb{F}$, and for each $v \in E^0$, define the characteristic maps $1_c := \chi_{X_c}$ and $1_v := \chi_{X_v}$, where $X_v = \{\xi \in X : s(\xi) = v\}$. Notice that 1_c is the unit of $F(X_c)$. Finally, let

$$D_0 = \text{span}\{\{1_p : p \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{1_v : v \in E^0\}\},$$

(where span means the K-linear span) and, for each $p \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, let $D_p \subseteq F(X_p)$ be defined as $1_p D_0$, that is,

$$D_p = \text{span}\{1_p 1_q : q \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

Since $\alpha_p(1_{p^{-1}} 1_q) = 1_p 1_{pq}$ (see [15]), consider, for each $p \in \mathbb{F}$, the restriction of α_p to $D_{p^{-1}}$. Notice that $\alpha_p : D_{p^{-1}} \to D_p$ is an isomorphism of K-algebras and, furthermore, $\{\{\alpha_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{F}}, \{D_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{F}}\}$ is a partial action.

In [15] it was shown that the partial skew group ring $D_0 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{F}$ is isomorphic to the Leavitt path algebra $L_K(E)$. More precisely, the map $\varphi : L_K(E) \to D_0 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{F}$ defined by $\varphi(e) = 1_e \delta_e$, $\varphi(e^*) = 1_{e^{-1}} \delta_{e^{-1}}$ for all $e \in E^1$ and $\varphi(v) = 1_v \delta_0$ for all $v \in E^0$, was shown to be a K-algebra isomorphism.

3 Leavitt Path algebras as partial skew groupoid rings

Associated to a graph one can construct the free path groupoid. In this section we use this groupoid to realize the Leavitt path algebra associated to a graph as a partial skew ring groupoid ring. It is interesting to note that, under this realization, there exists a standard set of local units of the Leavitt path algebra such that each local unit lives on its own fiber, while if the Leavitt path algebra is realized as a

partial skew group ring all local units live in the fiber associated to the neutral element of the free group.

The definition of the free path groupoid can be found in [21]. Since this is the main groupoid in our work we recall its construction below.

Let $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be a graph and recall that W denotes the set of finite paths in E. Let $(E^1)^* := \{e^* : e \in E^1\}$ and extend the maps r, s to $(E^1)^*$ by $s(e^*) = r(e)$ e $r(e^*) = s(e)$, for all $e \in E^1$. For $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \in W$ define $\alpha^* := \alpha_n^* \dots \alpha_1^*$. Let

$$P = \{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n : \alpha_i \in E^1 \cup (E^1)^* \cup E^0 \text{ e } r(\alpha_i) = s(\alpha_{i+1})\}.$$

Example 3.1. *In the graph below the element $e_1e_2e_3^*e_4$ belongs to P.*



In P we define a concatenation operation, denoted by $\cdot$, so that

$e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_1e_2$ (concatenation);

$e \cdot r(e) = s(e) \cdot e = e$;

$e \cdot e^* = s(e)$;

$e^* \cdot e = r(e)$;

and we extend this operation to finite paths in the expected way.

Definition 3.2. *Given $a_1, \dots, a_n, b \in E^1 \cup (E^1)^* \cup E^0$ and sequences of the form*

$$a_1 \cdots a_k bb^* a_{k+1} a_n$$

or

$$a_1 \cdots a_k r(a_k) a_{k+1} \cdots a_n = a_1 \cdots a_k s(a_{k+1}) a_{k+1} \cdots a_n$$

in P, we say that $a_1 \cdots a_k a_{k+1} a_n$ is a reduction of the these sequences. A sequence in P is said irreducible if it can not be reduced.

Definition 3.3. *Let G be the set of all irreducible sequences in P, $G^2 = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in G \times G : r(\alpha) = s(\beta)\}$ and define the partial groupoid operation $G^2 \to G$ by $\alpha \cdot \beta = \text{irr}(\alpha\beta)$, where $\text{irr}(\alpha\beta)$ denotes the reduction of $\alpha\beta$. Then G is the free path groupoid associated to the graph E.*

Remark 3.4. *To emphasize the notations we are using notice that, for all $\alpha \in G$, we have $s(\alpha) = \epsilon(\alpha)$, $r(\alpha) = d(\alpha)$, $\alpha\alpha^* = s(\alpha)$ and $\alpha^*\alpha = r(\alpha)$.*

We now proceed to realize a Leavitt path algebra as a partial skew groupoid ring. The main ideas follow what was done for groups. We start by defining a partial groupoid action in the level of sets.

Let $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be a graph and G the associated free path groupoid, as in 3.3. Let $X = \{\xi \in W : r(\xi) \text{ is a sink}\} \cup \{v \in E^0 : v \text{ is a sink}\} \cup W^\infty$. For $a \in W \setminus E^0$, define $X_a := \{\xi \in X : \xi_1 \dots \xi_{|a|} = a\}$ and $X_{a^{-1}} := \{\xi \in X : r(\xi) = r(a)\}$. For $ab^{-1} \in G$, with $a, b \in W$ and $a, b \notin E^0$, define $X_{ab^{-1}} := X_a$. For $v \in E^0$, define $X_v := \{\xi \in X : s(\xi) = v\}$. Finally, for any other $g \in G$, let $X_g = \varnothing$.

Remark 3.5. *Notice that the sets X_v were not part of the definition of the partial action of the free group. So, to obtain the Leavitt path algebra, it was necessary to artificially add the span of the characteristic functions of the sets X_v to the algebra where the free group acts (see the definition of D_0 in the previous section). This procedure will be avoided with our groupoid approach.*

Next we define the groupoid partial action.

For $v \in E^0$, define

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \theta_v : & X_v & \to & X_v \\ & \xi & \mapsto & \xi. \end{array}$$

For $b \in W$, define

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \theta_b : & X_{b^{-1}} & \to & X_b \\ & \xi & \mapsto & b\xi, \end{array}$$

and $\theta_{b^{-1}} : X_b \to X_{b^{-1}}$, by

$$\theta_{b^{-1}}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \xi_{|b|+1}\xi_{|b|+2}\dots & \text{, if } r(b) \text{ is not a sink} \\ r(b) & \text{, if } r(b) \text{ is a sink.} \end{cases}$$

For $ab^{-1} \in G$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{ba^{-1}} : \quad X_{ab^{-1}} &\to X_{ba^{-1}} \\ \xi &\mapsto b\xi_{|a|+1}\xi_{|a|+2}\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{ab^{-1}} : \quad X_{ba^{-1}} &\to X_{ab^{-1}} \\ \xi &\mapsto a\xi_{|b|+1}\xi_{|b|+2}\dots. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.6. *$\theta = (\{X_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a partial action of the groupoid G in the set X.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $X_{\epsilon(g)}$ is a subset of X, that X_g is a subset of $X_{\epsilon(g)}$ and that $\theta_g : X_{g^{-1}} \to X_g$ is a bijection. We need to prove the three conditions a partial groupoid action must satisfy.

(i) For all $v \in E^0$ we have that θ_v is the identity in X_v.

Given $\xi \in X_v$, then $s(\xi) = v = r(\xi)$ and hence

$$\theta_v(\xi) = v\xi = s(\xi)\xi = \xi.$$

(ii) $\theta_h^{-1}(X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_h) \subseteq X_{(gh)^{-1}}$.

Let $g = ab^{-1}, h = cd^{-1} \in G$.

Since $X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_h = X_{ba^{-1}} \cap X_{cd^{-1}}$, we need to consider three cases:

Case 1: If b is not the beginning of c and c is not the beginning of b.

In this case, $X_{ba^{-1}} \cap X_{cd^{-1}} = \varnothing$.

Caso 2: If b is the beginning of c (we write $c = bc'$).

Notice that in this case we have that

$$X_{ba^{-1}} \cap X_{cd^{-1}} = \begin{cases} X_{a^{-1}} \cap X_{d^{-1}} & \text{, if } b = r(a), c = r(d) \in E^0 \\ X_{a^{-1}} \cap X_c & \text{, if } b \in E^0, c \notin E^0 \\ X_b \cap X_c & \text{, if } b, c \notin E^0 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} X_{a^{-1}} \cap X_{d^{-1}} & \text{, if } b = r(a), c = r(d) \in E^0 \\ X_{a^{-1}} \cap X_c & \text{, if } b \in E^0, c \notin E^0 \\ X_c & \text{, if } b, c \notin E^0 \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\theta_h^{-1}(X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_h) = \begin{cases} \theta_{d^{-1}}^{-1}(X_{a^{-1}} \cap X_{d^{-1}}) & (1) \\ \theta_{cd^{-1}}(X_{a^{-1}} \cap X_c) & (2) \\ \theta_{cd^{-1}}^{-1}(X_c) & (3) \end{cases}$$

In (1) we have that $(gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1} = dc^{-1}ba^{-1} = da^{-1}$ and hence $X_{(gh)^{-1}} = X_{da^{-1}} = X_d$. In (2) we have that $(gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1} = dc^{-1}ba^{-1} = dc^{-1}a^{-1}$ and hence $X_{(gh)^{-1}} = X_{dc^{-1}a^{-1}} = X_d$. Finally, in (3) we have that $(gh)^{-1} = dc^{-1}ba^{-1} = dc'^{-1}b^{-1}ba^{-1} = dc'^{-1}r(b)a^{-1} = dc'^{-1}a^{-1}$. In any of the tree cases we obtain that

$$\theta_{dc^{-1}} \subseteq X_{dc^{-1}} = X_{dc'^{-1}b^{-1}} = X_{(gh)^{-1}},$$

as desired.

Case 3: c is the beginning of b (we write $b = cb'$).

This case is analogous to case 2.

(iii) For all $\xi \in \theta_g^{-1}(X_g \cap X_{h^{-1}})$ we have that $\theta_h \circ \theta_g(\xi) = \theta_{hg}(\xi)$.

This can be proved by separating into three cases as before and then verifying the desired equality.

□

We can now define the partial action in the ring level:

Let K be a field and let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ denote the set of all functions from X to K. For each $X_g \neq 0$, let $\mathcal{F}(X_g) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}(X) : f \text{ vanishes outside of } X_g\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X)$ and for $X_g = \varnothing$, let $\mathcal{F}(X_g) = \{$ null function $\}$. Now define

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \alpha_g : & \mathcal{F}(X_{g^{-1}}) & \to & \mathcal{F}(X_g) \\ & f & \mapsto & f \circ \theta_{g^{-1}} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \alpha_v : & \mathcal{F}(X_v) & \to & \mathcal{F}(X_v) \\ & f & \mapsto & f \circ \theta_v \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.7. *$\alpha = (\{\mathcal{F}(X_g)\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ is a partial action of the groupoid G on the ring $\mathcal{F}(X)$.*

Proof. The proof of this proposition follows the usual techniques used in the partial skew group ring case, see for example [11, 7] or [13].

□

As it happened in the group case, the partial action above is too "large". To get the Leavitt path algebra we need to do the following: Let $D(X) = \text{span}\{1_g : g \in G\}$, $D_p = 1_p D(X) = \text{span}\{1_p 1_g : g \in G\}$, for all $p \in G$, and consider the restriction of α_p to the ideals D_p,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \alpha_p : & D_{p^{-1}} & \to & D_p \\ & 1_{p^{-1}}1_q & \mapsto & \alpha_p(1_{p^{-1}}1_p) = 1_p 1_{pq} \end{array}$$

Definition 3.8. *Let $\tilde{\alpha} = (\{D_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g\in G})$ be the partial action of the groupoid G on the ring $D(X)$, as described above. Then we will denote the partial skew groupoid ring associated to $\tilde{\alpha}$ by $D(X) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} G$.*

Remark 3.9. *As we remarked before, when dealing with the partial action of the free group $\mathbb{F}$, it was necessary to define $D(X) = \text{span}\{\{1_p : p \in \mathbb{F}\setminus\{0\}\} \cup \{1_v : v \in E^0\}\}$. With the groupoid approach the definition of $D(X)$ is more natural. Notice also that for any vertex v the function $1_v\delta_v \in D(X) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} G$.*

Theorem 3.10. *Let E be a graph. Then $D(X) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} G$ is isomorphic to $L_K(E)$ via an isomorphism ϕ such that, for all $e \in E^1$, $\phi(e) = 1_e\delta_e$, $\phi(e^*) = 1_{e^{-1}}\delta_{e^{-1}}$ and, for all $v \in E^0$, $\phi(v) = 1_v\delta_v$.*

Proof. Consider the family $\{1_e\delta_e, 1_{e^{-1}}\delta_{e^{-1}}, 1_v\delta_v : e \in E^1, v \in E^0\}$ in $D(X) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} G$. This family satisfy the relations defining the Leavitt path algebra $L_K(E)$. To give the reader an idea of the techniques involved we show how to prove the Cuntz-Krieger relation.

Let $v \in E^0$ be such that $0 < \#\{e : s(e) = v\} < \infty$. Since $X_v = \bigcup_{e\in E^1:s(e)=v} X_e$, we have that

$$\sum_{e\in E^1:s(e)=v} 1_e\delta_e 1_{e^{-1}}\delta_{e^{-1}} = \sum_{e\in E^1:s(e)=v} 1_e\delta_{s(e)} = (\sum_{e\in E^1:s(e)=v} 1_e)\delta_v = 1_v\delta_v.$$

Hence, by the universal property of $L_K(E)$ and the fact that $L_K(E) \cong D_0 \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{F}$ via an isomorphism such that, for all $e \in E^1$, $\phi(e) = 1_e\delta_e$, $\phi(e^*) = 1_{e^{-1}}\delta_{e^{-1}}$ and, for all $v \in E^0$, $\phi(v) = 1_v\delta_v$ (see section 2), we obtain an unique homomorphism

$$\Gamma : D_0 \rtimes \mathbb{F} \to D(X) \rtimes G$$

such that $\Gamma(1_e\delta_e) = 1_e\delta_e$, $\Gamma(1_{e^{-1}}\delta_{e^{-1}}) = 1_{e^{-1}}\delta_{e^{-1}}$, for all $e \in E^1$, and $\Gamma(1_v\delta_0) = 1_v\delta_v$, for all $v \in E^0$.

It is clear that Γ is surjective, since $\{1_e\delta_e, 1_{e^{-1}}\delta_{e^{-1}} : e \in E^1\}$ e $\{1_v\delta_v : v \in E^0\}$ generates $D(X) \rtimes_\alpha G$. We will show that Γ is injective.

Let $x \in \operatorname{Ker}\Gamma$. Then we can write $x = a_0\delta_0 + \sum a_g\delta_g$, where $a_g \in D_g$ e $a_0 = \left(\sum \lambda_{ab^{-1}}1_{ab^{-1}} + \sum \beta_w 1_w\right) \in D_0$.

For $0 \neq g$, since Γ is a homomorphism, it is straightforward to check that $\Gamma(a_g\delta_g) = a_g\delta_g$. We need to compute $\Gamma(a_0\delta_0)$.

Notice that given $1_a\delta_0 \in D_0\delta_0$, with $a \in W$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1_a\delta_a \cdot 1_{a^{-1}}\delta_{a^{-1}} &= \alpha_a(\alpha_{a^{-1}}(1_a)1_{a^{-1}})\delta_0 \\ &= \alpha_a(1_{a^{-1}}1_{a^{-1}})\delta_0 \\ &= 1_a\delta_0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(1_a\delta_0) &= \Gamma(1_a\delta_a)\Gamma(1_{a^{-1}}\delta_{a^{-1}})\\ &= 1_a\delta_a \cdot 1_{a^{-1}}\delta_{a^{-1}}\\ &= \alpha_a(\alpha_{a^{-1}}(1_a)1_{a^{-1}})\delta_{aa^{-1}}\\ &= \alpha_a(1_{a^{-1}})\delta_{s(a)}\\ &= 1_a\delta_{s(a)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $1_{cd^{-1}} = 1_c$ and $1_{d^{-1}} = 1_{r(d)}$ for $c, d \in W$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(a_0\delta_0) &= \Gamma((\sum \lambda_{ab^{-1}}1_{ab^{-1}} + \sum \beta_w 1_w)\delta_0)\\ &= \sum \lambda_{ab^{-1}}1_{ab^{-1}}\delta_{s(a)} + \sum \beta_w 1_w\delta_w\\ &= \sum_{v\in V'} 1_v a_0\delta_v,\end{aligned}$$

where $V' = \{v \in V^0 : v = s(ab^{-1}) \text{ for } \lambda_{ab^{-1}} \text{ or } \beta_v \neq 0\}$.

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(x) = 0 &\iff \sum_{v\in V'} 1_v a_0\delta_v + \sum a_g\delta_g = 0\\ &\iff a_0 = 0 \text{ and } a_g = 0, \text{ for all } g\end{aligned}$$

and hence Γ is injective as desired. □

4 Applications to free path groupoid graded isomorphisms of Leavitt path algebras.

Given two graphs, say E_1 and E_2, by the results of the previous section, we can see the associated Leavitt path algebras as groupoid graded rings. In this section we show that if there exists a homomorphism between the associated free path groupoids, say G_1 and G_2, that preserves the set of finite words and is injective in

this set, then there exists a (free path) groupoid graded isomorphism between the Leavitt path algebras (which preserves the generators). Furthermore, in the presence of condition (L) for E_1, we show the converse of our statement, that is, we show that if there exists a free path groupoid graded isomorphism, that preserves generators, from $L_K(E_1)$ to $L_K(E_2)$, then there exists an homomorphism between the associated free path groupoids that preserves the set of finite words and is injective in this set.

We start the work by giving a few necessary definitions and showing a series of auxiliary results.

Definition 4.1. *Let G, H be groupoids. A map $h : G \to H$ is a groupoid homomorphism if $(g_1, g_2) \in G^2$ implies that $(h(g_1), h(g_2)) \in H^2$ and $h(g_1 g_2) = h(g_1)h(g_2)$.*

Definition 4.2. *Given a graph E, let G be the free path groupoid associated and $\theta = (\{X_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g\in G})$ the partial groupoid action of G in the set X, as defined in section 3. We define $S \subseteq G$ by*

$$S = \{g \in G : X_g \neq \varnothing\}.$$

Throughout this section, for $i = 1, 2$, $E_i = (E_i^0, E_i^1, r, s)$ is a graph, G_i is the free path groupoid associated to E_i (as defined in 3.3), $W_i \subseteq G_i$ is the set of all finite paths in E_i, $\theta_i = (\{X_g\}_{g\in G_i}, \{\theta_g\}_{g\in G_i})$ is the partial groupoid action of G_i in the set X_i, as defined in section 3, and S_i is associated with G_i in the fashion of definition 4.2 . Furthermore, $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is a groupoid homomorphism.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G_i and W_i be as above and $h : G_1 \to G_2$ be a groupoid homomorphism. Then for all $v \in E_1^0$, we have that $h(v) \in E_2^0$. Furthermore, for all $\alpha \in W_1$ we have that $h(s(\alpha)) = s(h(\alpha))$ and $h(r(\alpha)) = r(h(\alpha))$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in G_2$ be such that $h(v) = \alpha$, where $v \in E_1^0$. Then

$$\alpha = h(v) = h(vv) = h(v)h(v) = \alpha\alpha$$

and therefore $\alpha \in E_2^0$.

Now, since $h(\alpha) = h(s(\alpha)\alpha) = h(s(\alpha))h(\alpha)$, we have that $s(h(\alpha)) = h(s(\alpha))$. The equality involving $r(\alpha)$ follows analogously. □

Corollary 4.4. *Given $\alpha \in W_1$, it follows that $h(\alpha^{-1}) = h(\alpha)^{-1}$ and hence, for every $(\alpha, \beta^{-1}) \in G_1^2$, it holds that $h(\alpha\beta^{-1}) = h(\alpha)h(\beta)^{-1}$.*

Assuming that the homomorphism h is injective we can also prove the following:

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is injective in W_1. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in G_1^2$ if, and only if, $(h(\alpha), h(\beta)) \in G_2^2$. Furthermore, for every $\alpha, \beta \in W_1$, we have that $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$ if, and only if, $r(h(\alpha)) = r(h(\beta))$.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \notin G_1^2$. Then $r(\alpha) \neq s(\beta)$ and, since h is injective in W_1, it follows that

$$r(h(\alpha)) = h(r(\alpha)) \neq h(s(\beta)) = s(h(\beta))$$

and hence $(h(\alpha), h(\beta)) \notin G_2^2$.

Now suppose that $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$. Then $(\alpha, \beta^{-1}) \in G_1^2$ and, since h is a homomorphism, we have that $(h(\alpha), h(\beta^{-1})) \in G_2^2$. Therefore $r(h(\alpha)) = s(h(\beta^{-1})) = r(h(\beta^{-1})^{-1})) = r(h(\beta))$.

Finally, suppose that $r(\alpha) \neq r(\beta)$. Then, since h is injective in W_1, we have that $h(r(\alpha)) \neq h(r(\beta))$ and hence

$$r(h(\alpha)) = h(r(\alpha)) \neq h(r(\beta)) = r(h(\beta)).$$ □

If we further assume that the homomorphism h preserves the set of finite paths in the graph E_1 we can prove the following:

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is injective in W_1 and $h(W_1) = W_2$. Then, for every $\alpha \in W_1$, we gave that $|\alpha| = 1$ if, and only if, $|h(\alpha)| = 1$.*

Proof. Let $e \in E_1^1$. Suppose that $h(e) = \alpha_1\alpha_2 \in W_2$, with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \notin E_2^0$. Then there exist $f_1, f_2 \in W_1$ such that $h(f_1) = \alpha_1$ and $h(f_2) = \alpha_2$. Notice that by Lemma 4.3

$f_1, f_2 \notin E_1^0$. Therefore, since

$$h(f_1 f_2) = h(f_1)h(f_2) = \alpha_1\alpha_2 = h(e),$$

we have that $f_1 f_2 = e$ and hence $f_1 \in E_1^0$ or $f_2 \in E_1^0$, what contradicts our assumption. Now, if $h(e) \in E_2^0$ then

$$h(ee) = h(e)h(e) = h(e)$$

and hence $e \in E_1^0$, which is a contradiction. The only possibility left is that $|h(e)| = 1$.

Conversely, suppose that $\alpha \in W_1$ is such that $|h(\alpha)| = 1$. Notice that if $\alpha \in E_1^0$ then $h(\alpha) \in E_2^0$ and $|h(\alpha)| = 0$. So suppose that $|\alpha| = n, n \geq 2$. Then $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$, for $\alpha_i \in E_1^1$, and hence

$$h(\alpha) = h(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) = h(\alpha_1) \dots h(\alpha_n).$$

and $1 = |h(\alpha)| = |h(\alpha_1) \dots h(\alpha_n)| = n$, a contradiction. Therefore $|\alpha| = 1$. □

Corollary 4.7. *Let $h : G_1 \to G_2$ be injective in W_1 and such that $h(W_1) = W_2$. Then $|\alpha| = |h(\alpha)|$ for all $\alpha \in W_1$.*

With the above conditions on the homomorphism $h : G_1 \to G_2$ we can actually show that h is a bijection. We do this below.

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose that $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is a homomorphism such that $h|_{W_1}$ is injective and $h(W_1) = W_2$. Then h is bijective.*

Proof. First we prove that h is surjective. Let $\gamma \in G_2$. Then we can write $\gamma = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n$, where $\gamma_i \in E_2^0 \cup E_2^1 \cup (E_2^1)^*$. Since $h(W_1) = W_2$, $h(W_1^*) = W_2^*$ and $h(E_1^0) = E_2^0$, we have that for each i there exists an unique $e_i \in W_1 \cup W_1^*$ such that $\gamma_i = h(e_i)$. By Lemma 4.6, we have that $e_i \in E_1^0 \cup E_1^1 \cup (E_1^1)^*$ and, by Lema 4.5,

the element $e_1 \dots e_n \in G_1$. Therefore

$$h(e_1 \dots e_n) = h(e_1) \dots h(e_n) = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n = \gamma.$$

Now suppose that there exists another $f_1 \dots f_k \in G_1$ such that $\gamma = h(f_1 \dots f_k) = h(f_1) \dots h(f_k)$. Clearly $k \geq n$, since γ is in reduced form. If $k > n$, then $h(f_1) \dots h(f_k)$ is not in reduced form and therefore there exists j such that $h(f_j)h(f_{j+1})$ is equal to either $s(h(f_j))$ or $r(h(f_{j+1}))$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $h(f_j)h(f_{j+1}) = s(h(f_j))$. Then $h(f_j f_{j+1}) = h(s(f_j))$ and, since h is 1-1 in W_1, we have that $f_j f_{j+1} = s(f_j)$. Hence $f_1 \dots f_k$ is not in reduced form, what is a contradiction. Therefore $k = n$ and it follows by Lemma 4.6 that $h(f_i) = \gamma_i = h(e_i)$ for all i and hence $f_i = e_i$ for all i (from injectivity of h in W_1).

□

Our main results in this section concern groupoid graded homomorphisms. We give this definition below and then proceed to prove the two theorems of the section.

Definition 4.9. *Let $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G_1} A_g$ and $B = \bigoplus_{h \in G_2} B_h$ be graded algebras by the groupoids G_1 e G_2, respectively. A graded groupoid homomorphism between A and B is a pair (φ, h), where $\varphi : A \to B$ is an algebra homomorphism, $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is a groupoid homomorphism and $\varphi(A_g) \subseteq B_{h(g)}$, for all $g \in G_1$.*

Theorem 4.10. *Let E_1 and E_2 be graphs and G_1, G_2 the associated free path groupoids, respectively. If there exists a groupoid homomorphism $h : G_1 \to G_2$ such that $h|_{W_1}$ is injective and $h(W_1) = W_2$ (where W_i denotes the set of finite paths in E_i, $i = 1, 2$), then the associated Leavitt path algebras $D(X_1) \rtimes G_1$ and $D(X_2) \rtimes G_2$ are groupoid graded isomorphic, via an isomorphism $\varphi : D(X_1) \rtimes G_1 \to D(X_2) \rtimes G_2$ such that $\varphi(1_e \delta_e) = 1_{h(e)} \delta_{h(e)}$ and $\varphi(1_v \delta_v) = 1_{h(v)} \delta_{h(v)}$.*

Proof. Consider in $L_K(E_2)$ the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{1_{h(e)} \delta_{h(e)}, 1_{h(e^{-1})} \delta_{h(e^{-1})}, 1_{h(v)} \delta_{h(v)} : v \in E_1^0, e \in E_1^1\}.$$

We will show that $\mathcal{F}$ satisfy the relations defining the Leavitt path algebra $L_K(E_1)$.

(i) Since for every vertex v the action α_v is the identity we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{h(s(e))}\delta_{h(s(e))} \cdot 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)} &= 1_{s(h(e))}\delta_{s(h(e))} \cdot 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)} \\ &= \alpha_{s(h(e))}(\alpha^{-1}_{s(h(e))}(1_{s(h(e))})1_{h(e)})\delta_{s(h(e))h(e)} \\ &= 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)} \cdot 1_{r(h(e))}\delta_{r(h(e))} &= 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)} \cdot 1_{h(r(e))}\delta_{h(r(e))} \\ &= \alpha_{h(e)}(\alpha^{-1}_{h(e)}(1_{h(e)})1_{r(h(e))})\delta_{h(e)r(h(e))} \\ &= \alpha_{h(e)}(1_{h(e)^{-1}}1_{r(h(e))})\delta_{h(er(e))} \\ &= \alpha_{h(e)}(1_{h(e)^{-1}})\delta_{h(e)} \\ &= 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For the second relation notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{h(r(e))}\delta_{h(r(e))} \cdot 1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(e^{-1})} &= 1_{r(h(e))}\delta_{r(h(e))} \cdot 1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(e^{-1})} \\ &= \alpha_{r(h(e))}(\alpha^{-1}_{r(h(e))}(1_{r(h(e))})1_{h(e)^{-1}})\delta_{h(e^{-1})} \\ &= 1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(e^{-1})} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(e^{-1})} \cdot 1_{s(h(e))}\delta_{s(h(e))} &= 1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(e^{-1})} \cdot 1_{s(h(e))}\delta_{s(h(e))} \\ &= \alpha_{h(e^{-1})}(\alpha^{-1}_{h(e^{-1})}(1_{h(e^{-1})})1_{s(h(e))})\delta_{h(e^{-1})s(h(e^{-1}))} \\ &= \alpha_{h(e^{-1})}(1_{h(e^{-1})^{-1}}1_{s(h(e))})\delta_{h(e^{-1}s(e))} \\ &= \alpha_{h(e^{-1})}(1_{h(e)}1_{s(h(e))})\delta_{h(e^{-1})} \\ &= \alpha_{h(e^{-1})}(1_{h(e)})\delta_{h(e^{-1})} \\ &= 1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(e^{-1})} \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Next we check the third relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(e^{-1})}1_{h(g)}\delta_{h(g)} &= \alpha_{h(e^{-1})}(\alpha^{-1}_{h(e^{-1})}(1_{h(e^{-1})})1_{h(g)})\delta_{h(e^{-1})h(g)} \\
&= \alpha_{h(e^{-1})}(\alpha_{h(e)}(1_{h(e^{-1})})1_{h(g)})\delta_{h(e^{-1})h(g)} \\
&= \alpha_{h(e^{-1})}(1_{h(e)}1_{h(g)})\delta_{h(e^{-1})h(g)} \\
&= \delta_{h(e),h(g)}1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(r(e))} \\
&= \delta_{e,g}1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(r(e))}
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) Finally we check the Cuntz-Krieger relation. Let $e \in E_1^0$ be such that $0 < \#\{e : s(e) = v\} < \infty$. Notice that

$$\{e : s(e) = v\} = \{e : h(s(e)) = h(v)\} = \{e : s(h(e)) = h(v)\}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\sum_{s(e)=v} 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)}1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(e^{-1})} = \sum_{s(e)=v} 1_{h(e)}\delta_{s(h(e))} = \sum_{s(e)=v} 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(v)}.$$

Therefore it is enough to show that $\sum_{e:s(e)=v} 1_{h(e)} = 1_{h(v)}$. But this follows since

$$\{e \in E_2^1 : s(e) = h(v)\} = \{h(f) : f \in E_1^1 \text{ and } s(f) = v\}$$

and $1_{h(v)} = \sum_{f:s(f)=h(v)} 1_f$.

We conclude that there exists a homomorphism $\varphi : L_K(E_1) \to L_K(E_2)$ such that $\varphi(1_e\delta_e) = 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)}$, $\varphi(1_{e^{-1}}\delta_{e^{-1}}) = 1_{h(e^{-1})}\delta_{h(e^{-1})}$ and $\varphi(1_v\delta_v) = 1_{h(v)}\delta_{h(v)}$. To show that this φ is bijective we construct its inverse in an analogous way to what was

done above. Namely, consider in $L_K(E_1)$ the family

$$\mathcal{H} = \{1_{h^{-1}(e)}\delta_{h^{-1}(e)}, 1_{h^{-1}(e^{-1})}\delta_{h^{-1}(e^{-1})}, 1_{h^{-1}(v)}\delta_{h^{-1}(v)} : v \in E_2^0, e \in E_2^1\}.$$

Since $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is a bijection such that $h^{-1}(W_2) = W_1$ e $h^{-1}|_{W_2} = W_1$, we obtain, proceeding as above, the inverse homomorphism of φ.

□

Under condition (L) for the graph E_1, that is, when every closed cycle in E_1 has an exit, we can prove the converse of the above result. We do this in two steps.

Theorem 4.11. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two graphs and suppose that E_1 satisfies condition (L). If (φ, h) is a graded homomorphism between the associated Leavitt path algebras, where $\varphi : D(X_1) \rtimes G_1 \to D(X_2) \rtimes G_2$ is an isomorphism and $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is a homomorphism such that $\varphi(\{1_v\delta_v : v \in E_1^0\}) = \{1_w\delta_w : w \in E_2^0\}$, then $h|_{W_1}$ is injective and $h(S_1) = S_2$ (where S_i are as in definition 4.2).*

Proof. We show first that $h(S_1) = S_2$.

Given $g \in S_1$, we have that $1_g\delta_g \neq 0$ in $D(X_1) \rtimes G_1$. Therefore, since φ is injective, $0 \neq \varphi(1_g\delta_g) \in D_{h(g)}\delta_{h(g)}$ and hence $h(g) \in S_2$.

For the other inclusion, let $c \in S_2$. Then $1_c\delta_c \neq 0$ and, since φ is an isomorphism, there exists $x = \sum \alpha_g\delta_g$ such that $1_c\delta_c = \varphi(x) = \sum \varphi(\alpha_g\delta_g)$. Since $\varphi(\alpha_g\delta_g) \in D_{h(g)}\delta_{h(g)}$, for all g, we have that there exists g such that $h(g) = c$ and $\varphi(\alpha_g\delta_g) \neq 0$ (notice that $g \in S_1$, since $\alpha_g\delta_g \neq 0$).

Next we prove that $h|_{W_1}$ is injective. We divide this in three steps. Recall that, by Lemma 4.3, $h(E_1^0) \subseteq E_2^0$.

Step 1: h is injective in the vertices, that is, $h|_{E_0^1}$ is injective.

Let $v, w \in E_1^0$. Notice that

$$\varphi(1_v\delta_v 1_w\delta_w) = \varphi(1_v\delta_v)\varphi(1_w\delta_w) = 1_{h(v)}\delta_{h(v)} \cdot 1_{h(w)}\delta_{h(w)} = \delta_{h(v),h(w)}1_{h(v)}\delta_{h(v)}.$$

On the other hand, $\varphi(1_v\delta_v 1_w\delta_w) = \varphi(\delta_{v,w}1_v\delta_v) = \delta_{v,w}1_{h(v)}\delta_{h(v)}$. Therefore $h(v) = h(w)$ if, and only if, $v = w$.

Step 2: Let $\alpha \in S_1$. If $h(\alpha) \in E_2^0$ then $\alpha \in E_1^0$.

Suppose that $\alpha \in S_1$ is such that $h(\alpha) \in E_2^0$. Then we have that

$$h(s(\alpha)) = s(h(\alpha)) = r(h(\alpha)) = h(r(\alpha)).$$

Therefore, since h is injective on the vertices (Step 1), we have that $s(\alpha) = r(\alpha)$ and α must be a closed cycle.

Next notice that, since the partial action on the ideals associated to vertices is the identity, we have that

$$\varphi(1_\alpha \delta_\alpha 1_{\alpha^{-1}} \delta_{\alpha^{-1}}) = \varphi(1_\alpha \delta_\alpha)\varphi(1_{\alpha-1} \delta_{\alpha^{-1}}) = a\delta_{h(\alpha)} b\delta_{h(\alpha^{-1})} = ab\delta_{h(\alpha)},$$

where $a, b \in D_{h(\alpha)} = D_{h(\alpha^{-1})}$ are such that $\varphi(1_\alpha \delta_\alpha) = a\delta_{h(\alpha)}$ and $\varphi(1_{\alpha^{-1}} \delta_{\alpha^{-1}}) = b\delta_{h(\alpha^{-1})} = b\delta_{h(\alpha)}$. Analogously, $\varphi(1_{\alpha^{-1}} \delta_{\alpha^{-1}} 1_\alpha \delta_\alpha) = ba\delta_{h(\alpha)} = ab\delta_{h(\alpha)}$. Since φ is an isomorphism we have that $1_\alpha \delta_\alpha 1_{\alpha^{-1}} \delta_{\alpha^{-1}} = 1_{\alpha^{-1}} \delta_{\alpha^{-1}} 1_\alpha \delta_\alpha$, that is,

$$1_\alpha \delta_{s(\alpha)} = 1_{\alpha^{-1}} \delta_{r(\alpha)}.$$

Hence, since $s(\alpha) = r(\alpha)$, the above equality implies that $1_\alpha = 1_{\alpha^{-1}} = 1_{r(\alpha)}$, what can only happen if $\alpha \in E_1^0$ (as we show below).

Suppose that $\alpha \in W_1$ or $\alpha \in W_1^*$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\alpha \in W_1$. Since E_1 satisfies condition (L), the cycle α has an exit $e \in E_1^1$. So we can choose a subpath α' of α such that $\alpha = \alpha'\alpha''$ and $\alpha' e$ is composable. Then $1_\alpha(\alpha' e) = 0$ and $1_{r(\alpha)}(\alpha' e) = 1$ and hence $\alpha \notin W_1 \cup W_1^*$.

Finally, suppose that $\alpha = \beta\gamma^{-1}$, where $\beta, \gamma \in W_1 \setminus E_1^0$ and $r(\beta) = r(\gamma)$, in reduced form. Then $1_\beta = 1_\alpha = 1_{\alpha^{-1}} = 1_\gamma$, what is impossible.

We conclude that $\alpha \in E_1^0$ as desired.

Step 3: h is injective in W_1.

Let α and $\beta \in W_1$ be such that $h(\alpha) = h(\beta)$. Then

$$h(r(\alpha)) = r(h(\alpha)) = r(h(\beta)) = h(r(\beta)),$$

and by Step 1 we have that $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$. Therefore $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ is composable and

$$h(\alpha\beta^{-1}) = h(\alpha)h(\beta)^{-1} = h(\alpha)h(\alpha)^{-1} = s(h(\alpha)) \in E_2^0.$$

By Step 2 we have that $\alpha\beta^{-1} \in E_1^0$ and hence $\alpha = \beta$ as desired. □

Corollary 4.12. *If in Theorem 4.11 we further assume that* $\varphi(\{1_e\delta_e : e \in E_1^1\}) = \{1_f\delta_f : f \in E_2^1\}$, *then* $h(W_1) = W_2$.

Proof. Notice that with the additional hypothesis, since (φ, h) is a graded homomorphism, then $\varphi(1_e\delta_e) = 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)}$, for all $e \in E_1^1$.

Let $e_1 \dots e_n \in W_1$. Then $h(e_1 \dots e_n) = h(e_1) \dots h(e_n)$ and since $h(e_i) \in E_2^1$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have that $h(e_1 \dots e_n) \in W_2$ and hence $h(W_1) \subseteq W_2$.

For the other inclusion, let $f \in E_2^1$. Since $\varphi(\{1_e\delta_e : e \in E_1^1\}) = \{1_f\delta_f : f \in E_2^1\}$, there exists $e \in E_1^1$ such that $1_f\delta_f = \varphi(1_e\delta_e) = 1_{h(e)}\delta_{h(e)}$ and hence $h(e) = f$. So, given $f_1 \dots f_n \in W_2$ there exist $e_1, \dots, e_n \in W_1$ such that $h(e_i) = f_i$ and hence, by Lemma 4.5

$$f_1 \dots f_n = h(e_1) \dots h(e_n) = h(e_1 \dots e_n).$$

Therefore $W_2 \subseteq h(W_1)$ as desired.

□

We end our work with an example that shows that the additional hypothesis included in Corollary 4.12 is essential.

Example 4.13. *Let* $E_1 = \{E_1^0, E_1^1, r_1, s_1\}$, *where* $E_1^0 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, $E_1^1 = \{e_1, e_2\}$, $r_1(e_1) = v_2 = s_1(e_2)$, $s_1(e_1) = v_1$ *and* $r_1(e_2) = v_3$. *We picture this graph below.*

$$v_1 \xrightarrow{e_1} v_2 \xrightarrow{e_2} v_3$$

Let $E_2 = \{E_2^0, E_2^1, r_2, s_2\}$, *where* $E_2^0 = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$, $E_2^1 = \{f_1, f_2\}$, $r_2(f_1) = w_3 =$

$r_2(f_2)$, $s_2(f_1) = w_1$ *and* $s_2(f_2) = w_2$. *We picture this graph below*



Notice that $G_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, e_1, e_2, e_1^{-1}, e_2^{-1}, e_1e_2, e_2^{-1}e_1^{-1}\}$.

Define $h : G_1 \to G_2$ *so that* $h(e_1) = f_1^{-1}$ *and* $h(e_2) = f_1f_2^{-1}$, *Notice that*

$$\begin{aligned} h(v_1) &= h(e_1e_1^{-1}) = h(e_1)h(e_1)^{-1} = f_1^{-1}f_1 = r(f_1) = w_3 \\ h(v_2) &= h(e_1^{-1}e_1) = h(e_1)^{-1}h(e_1) = f_1f_1^{-1} = s(f_1) = w_1 \\ h(v_3) &= h(e_2^{-1}e_2) = h(e_2)^{-1}h(e_2) = (f_1f_2^{-1})^{-1}(f_1f_2^{-1}) \\ &= f_2r(f_1)f_2^{-1} = s(f_2) = w_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the universal property of Leavitt path algebras one can construct a graded isomorphism (φ, h), *where* $\varphi : L_K(E_1) \to L_K(E_2)$ *is such that* $\varphi(1_{e_1}\delta_{e_1}) = 1_{f_1^{-1}}\delta_{f_1^{-1}}$, $\varphi(1_{e_2}\delta_{e_2}) = 1_{f_1f_2^{-1}}\delta_{f_1f_2^{-1}}$, $\varphi(1_{v_1}\delta_{v_1}) = 1_{w_3}\delta_{w_3}$, $\varphi(1_{v_2}\delta_{v_2}) = 1_{w_1}\delta_{w_1}$, $\varphi(1_{v_3}\delta_{v_3}) = 1_{w_2}\delta_{w_2}$.

Notice that $\varphi(\{1_{v_1}\delta_{v_1}, 1_{v_2}\delta_{v_2}, 1_{v_3}\delta_{v_3}\}) = \{1_{w_1}\delta_{w_1}, 1_{w_2}\delta_{w_2}, 1_{w_3}\delta_{w_3}\}$, *but* $\varphi(\{1_{e_1}\delta_{e_1}, 1_{e_2}\delta_{e_2}\}) \neq \{1_{f_1}\delta_{f_1}, 1_{f_2}\delta_{f_2}\}$, *that is, the additional hypothesis of Corollary 4.12 is not satisfied. Furthermore, it is clear that* $h(W_1) \neq W_2$.

□

References

[1] G. Abrams, G. Aranda-Pino, *The Leavitt path algebra of a graph*, J. Algebra 293, (2005), 319-334.

[2] G. Abrams, G. Aranda-Pino, *The Leavitt path algebras of arbitrary graphs*, Houston J. Math. 34, no. 2, (2008), 423-442.

[3] G. Abrams, M. Tomforde, *Isomorphism and Morita equivalence of graph algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 363, (2011), 3733-3767.

[4] D. Bagio, D. Flores, A. Paques, *Partial actions of ordered groupoids on rings*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications, 9, No. 3, (2010), 501-517.

[5] D. Bagio, A. Paques, *Partial Groupoid Actions: Globalization, Morita Theory, and Galois Theory*, Communications In Algebra, 40, (2012), 3658-3678.

[6] N. Brownlowe, T. M. Carlsen, M. Whittaker, Toke Meier Carlsen, *Graph algebras and orbit equivalence*, to appear at Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.

[7] V. Beuter, D. Gonçalves, *Partial crossed products as equivalence relation algebras*, to appear at Rocky Mountain Journal Mathematics.

[8] X. Chen, *Irreducible representations of Leavitt path algebras*, Forum Mathematicum, vol 27, issue 1, (2012), 549-574.

[9] M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel, *Associativity of crossed products by partial actions, enveloping actions and partial representations*, Tans. Amer. Math. Soc 357, (2005), 1931-1952.

[10] R. Exel, *Circle actions on C*-algebras, partial automorphisms and generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequences*, J. Funct. Anal. 122, no. 3, (1994), 361-401.

[11] R. Exel, M. Laca and J. Quigg, *Partial dynamical systems and C*-algebras generated by partial isometries*, J. Operator Theory 47 (2002), 169-186.

[12] M. Ferrero, J. Lazzarin, *Partial actions and partial skew group rings*, J. Algebra 319, no. 12, (2008), 5247-5264.

[13] D. Gonçalves, *Simplicity of partial skew group rings of abelian groups*, Canad. Math. Bull., Vol. 57 (3), (2014), 511-519.

[14] D. Gonçalves, J. Oinert, D. Royer *Simplicity of partial skew group rings with applications to Leavitt path algebras and topological dynamics*, Journal of Algebra, 420, (2014), 201-216.

[15] D. Gonçalves and D. Royer, *Leavitt path algebras as partial skew group rings*, Communications in Algebra, 42, (2014), 3578-3592.

[16] D. Gonçalves and D. Royer *On the representations of Leavitt path algebras*, J. Algebra, 333, (2011) 258-272.

[17] D. Gonçalves and D. Royer, *Unitary equivalence of representations of graph algebras and branching systems*, Functional Analysis d its Applications, 45, (2011), 117-127.

[18] D. Gonçalves and D. Royer, *Branching systems and representations of Cohn-Leavitt path algebras of separated graphs*, Journal of Algebra, v. 422, (2015), p. 413-426.

[19] R. Hazrat, *The graded structure of Leavitt path algebras*, Israel Journal of Mathematics 195, (2013), 833-895.

[20] R. Hazrat, M. Rangaswamy, *On graded irreducible representations of Leavitt path algebras*, arXiv:1507.05984 [math.RA].

[21] P. J. HIGGINS, *Categories and Groupoids*, Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories, No. 7, (1971), 1-195.

[22] K. Rangaswamy, *Leavitt path algebras with finitely presented irreducible representations*, arXiv:1501.01781 [math.RA].

[23] M. Tomforde, E. Ruiz, *Ideals in graph algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, 17, (2014), 849-861.

[24] M. Tomforde, E. Ruiz, *Ideal-related K-theory for Leavitt path algebras and graph C*-algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 62, no. 5, (2013), 1587-1620.

[25] M. Tomforde, *Uniqueness theorems and ideal structure for Leavitt path algebras*, J. Algebra 318, (2007), 270-299.

Daniel Gonçalves, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, 88040-900, Brasil

Email: daemig@gmail.com

Gabriela Yoneda, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, 88040-900, Brasil

Email: yonedagabriela@gmail.com